



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5353
Title of the Manuscript:	POLLENS OF MELLIFEROUS PLANTS OF ECOLOGIC ZONES IV AND V OF TOGO
Type of the Article	Research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please made it checked the English of the manuscript to a native speaker! Abstract Line 12: ... analysis ... Line 15: ... different ... Line 16: ... species level. Line 21: ... determined ... Lines 21-23: delete "The terminology used has been the one of Bonnefille and al. (1980), Ybert (1979) and others palynologies collections." Abstract should not include citations. Line 23: ... photographs ... Lines 23-24: ... light microscope ... Line 27: ... Analysis; ... 1. INTRODUCTION Please follow the guidelines for authors for reference citation. Cite the references by consecutive numbers throughout the manuscript. Line 32: ... flowering ... Line 36: A study ... Line 38: ... particularly ... Line 45: ... do not ... Line 46: ... flowering ... Line 48: ... pollens. Line 51: ... trees ... 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS 2.1. Material Line 65: ... trees ... 2.2. Methods	Lines 21-23: I put it order to already specify the type of terminology used. However, I can remove it. 1. INTRODUCTION This was due the fact that I have already cited two references in the abstract. I agree with the reviewer to correct it



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 100: Thirty ... Line 103: ... microscope ... Line 106: ... species ... Line 110: delete full-stop after type Line 117: delete (tree hundred) Line 117 ... taxons ... Line 118: ... undetermined ... Line 119: ... obtain ... Line 120: ... spectra ... Line 125: ... grains ... Line 127: ... light microscope ... Line 128: ... light microscope ... 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 3.1. Results: This section needs to be improved Line 137: ... undetermined ... Line 137: ... have not Line 140: ... spectra Line 142: ... spectra ... Line 145: ... spectra ... Line 163: ... near ... Line 166: delete et ... Akayo; 14,29 % ... Lines 165-166: The International System of Units (SI) should be used. Use full-stop instead of comma while writing the decimals of the numbers. 3.2. Discussion Line 174: Figure 3 ... Lines 170-174: belong to the Results section Lines 184-185: Cite the references by consecutive numbers throughout the manuscript following the guidelines for authors for reference citation. Line 187: ... by ... Line 190: ... spectra ... Line 193: ... flowers' ... Lines 196-197: Cite the references by consecutive numbers throughout the manuscript following the	Line 118: That is a mistake when I wrote the word. But I would want to write "indeterminable" to tell about pollens which are broken, so impossible to identify. That is different of pollens "undetermined" which are in maid form but difficult to identify. Line 120: I am not entirely agreed with this words suggested "spectra" because it more relating to physics pharmacology. I prefer "spectre" which has a generally sense. Line 137: That is a mistake when I wrote the word. But I would want to write "indeterminable" to tell about pollens which are broken, so impossible to identify. That is different of pollens "undetermined" which are in maid form but difficult to identify. Lines 140; 142; 145: I am not entirely agreed with this words suggested "spectra" because it more relating to physics pharmacology. I prefer "spectre" which has a generally sense. Lines 170-174: I have tried to get better it. Lines 184-185; 196-197: That is a mistake; I have tried to improve it. Line 193: What is the problem here?
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	guidelines for authors for reference citation. Line 198: place comma Nevertheless, ... Line 198: ... results ... Line 204: ... spectra ... Line 205: ... have been made ... a plant ... Lines 205-206: Cite the references by consecutive numbers throughout the manuscript following the guidelines for authors for reference citation. Line 207: ... collected Line 210: ... , respectively, ... Line 211: The International System of Units (SI) should be used. Use full-stop instead of comma while writing the decimals of the numbers. 4. CONCLUSION REFERENCES If the reference is written in French, it is not necessary to write "French" again at the end of the line. Lines 553-555: correct the font Lines 579-580: write the full name of the article Line 564: italics if it is suitable and correct ... <i>Apis mellifera</i> ...	Line 205-206: That is a mistake; I have tried to improve it. Line 207: I think that there is no problem here. I had not understood it like that. Thank for the reminder.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	